



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,421	12/13/2001	Mark Gilmore Mears	PU010099	9546
7590 02/08/2006			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI			DESIR, JEAN WICEL	
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 5312			2614	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/020,421	MEARS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean W. Désir	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/21/05, Amendment. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to by the Election is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karam (US 5,548,832) in view of the Background of the Invention of the instant application.

Claim 1:

The Karam's system discloses:

"a power indicator illumination", see Fig. 1 items 36, 34, col. 4 lines 1-5;

"and a user interface (see col. 3 lines 49-51, col. 5 lines 42-43), wherein the user interface is operative to allow a user to select one of first and second modes of operation, in the first mode of operation, the power indicator illumination is automatically turned on (see col. 2 lines 9-10, col. 6 lines 2-6) only when the video apparatus is powered on, and in the second mode of operation, the power indicator illumination is turned off (see col. 4 lines 54-55, col. 5 lines 44-45) when the video apparatus is power on;

the difference between the claimed invention and Karam's system is that Karam does not explicitly say the system is for indicating the status of a <u>video</u> apparatus, as

Art Unit: 2614

claimed. However, the Background of the Invention of the instant application describes a system that indicates the status of a <u>video</u> apparatus, for instance when the lamp does not work (see Background of the Invention page 1 lines 20-22); an artisan would be motivated to combine the references to arrive at the claimed invention, this combination would provide a system that would indicate information regarding the operating status of the apparatus. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claim 2 is disclosed, see Background of the Invention page 1 lines 13-22, Karam at col. 4 lines 38-39.

Claim 3 is disclosed, see Background of the Invention page 1 lines 20-22, Karam at col. 1 line 24.

Claim 4 is disclosed, see Karam at col. 3 lines 57-59.

Claim 5 is disclosed, see Karam at col. 3 lines 43-59.

Claims 6, 7 are disclosed, see Background of the Invention page 1 lines 20-22.

Claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karam (US 5,548,832).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 8:

The Karam's system discloses:

"providing a user interface for allowing a user to select one of first and second modes of operation", see col. 3 lines 49-51, col. 5 lines 42-43;

"receiving selection from the user", see Fig. 1 item 26:

"if the selection indicates the first mode of operation, turning on the power indicator illumination only when the apparatus is powered on", see col. 2 lines 9-10, col. 6 lines 2-6;

"and if the selection indicates the second mode of operation, turning off the power indicator illumination, when the apparatus is powered on", see col. 4 lines 54-55, col. 5 lines 44-45.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of reinterpretation of the references necessitated by the amendment, and all the new limitations have been addressed.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,421

Art Unit: 2614

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (571) 272 7344. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272 7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

JWD

Feb. 5, 06

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
***CHNOLOGY CENTER 2600